

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Filed 10-17-07  
Clerk, U. S. District Court  
Western District of Texas  
By [Signature]  
Deputy

RENAE BAROS,

§

Plaintiff,

§

§

§

v.

§ No. EP-05-CA-336-H

§

MICHAEL CHERTOFF, SECRETARY  
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,

§

§

§

Defendant.

§

VERDICT ON SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES

We, the jury, unanimously answer the interrogatories submitted  
by the Court as follows:

Interrogatory No. One

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that Patricia  
Kramer did not recommend Plaintiff Renae Baros for selection as a  
Criminal Investigator in retaliation for her complaint of sexual  
harassment against a supervisor?

Answer "Yes" or "No"

We answer: yes

If you have answered Interrogatory No. One "Yes," then proceed  
to Interrogatory No. Two. If you have answered "No," do not answer  
further interrogatories.

Interrogatory No. Two

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence that but for Kramer's act of retaliation, Plaintiff Renae Baros would have been selected for the position of Criminal Investigator in 2002?

Answer "Yes" or "No"

We answer: NO

If you have answered Interrogatory No. Two "Yes," then answer Interrogatory No. Three. If you have answered "No," do not answer further interrogatories.

Interrogatory No. Three

What amount of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate the Plaintiff for the injuries, if any, caused by the Defendant's retaliation?

Answer in dollars and cents or "None," as to each element.

Loss of earnings in the past: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Mental anguish and emotional distress: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Foreperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date